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#### Abstract

This study was conducted mainly to asses the profitability of the floral design business in Dimasalang, Metro Manila. The study was conducted in December 2005 with 30 florists in Dimasalang, Metro Manila as respondents.

The floral design commonly produced by the florists in Dimasalang were flower arrangement, corsage, bouquet, and leis of different sizes and types. The other service packages offered by the florists were church décor, reception décor, and bridal entourage. The respondents were grouped into two according to location of business; the bagsakan center and the retail area. The gross income per florist at the bagsakan center was about 2 million pesos while at the retail area was about 2.3 million pesos. This included the sales from floral designs and service income. The total costs at the bagsakan center was about 1.08 million pesos leaving a net income of P931, 278 while at the retail area, the total cost was 1.32 million pesos leaving a net income of P967,299. The returns above total cost, returns above variable cost, and returns above fixed cost at the bagsakan center was $0.86,1.06$, and 4.52 , respectively. At the retail area, the returns above total cost was 0.73 , returns above variable cost was 0.86 , and returns above variable cost was 4.89.
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## INTRODUCTION

Rationale

All people are artist. As human, people like to create things and floral designing is one of the many outlets of creativity.

Floral designing has been around for centuries and anyone can be successful with it. A single flower is beautiful in itself, but when they are grouped together, the aesthetic beauty can become more admirable.

Flower unlike other media are forgiving. It can be arranged or rearranged until the artist is satisfied with it. There are endless possibilities in floral designing. Some are large, medium and even small flower arrangement. Flowers can be dried and made into arrangements; it can be pressed and use in making cards and more.

Floral designing is one way of enhancing its aesthetic value. It is also a way of recycling damage flowers that cannot be sold. Flowers are use to highlight the key moments in our lives just like birthdays, holidays, the first dance, wedding, anniversaries, illnesses and deaths.

In Manila, Dimasalang is the trading place of cutflowers. Forty percent of the cutflower being sold comes from Baguio and Benguet farms (Pitlongay, 2002). Aside from selling cutflowers, many floral designers in this place make flower arranging as another business. Some of their customers who are retailers from nearby provinces usually buy their craft and sell it in their respective places.

Furthermore, cutflower business is one of the blooming businesses in the country today, and floral designing follows. It is therefore the purpose of this study to assess the profitability of the floral design business in Dimasalang, Manila.

## Objectives of the Study

This study was conducted to find out the profitability of the floral design business in Dimasalang, Manila. Specifically it aimed to:

1. find out the different floral designs being produced and sold in Dimasalang, Manila;
2. determine volume of production and the selling price per design
3. determine the mark-up set by the floral designer
4. find out other services rendered by the floral designer
5. Estimate the costs and returns from the floral design business.

## Importance of the Study

The study was conducted to asses and estimate the costs and returns from floral design business and the results could be used as benchmark data for further studies to improve the cutflower business.

## Scope and Limitation of the study

This study focused on the business operations of the flower design enterprise in Dimasalang, Metro Manila where the cost and return analysis in this enterprise was assessed. Respondents were limited to those with permanent flower shops.

## REVIEW OF LITERATURE

In the study of Pitlongay (2002), she said that most florists in Dimasalang and Kanlaon considered floral designing as an occupation or as a permanent job but for others it is only a sideline for additional income. They are engaged in this business for two to four years and most of them are in the ages ranging from 26 to 30 years old. Males dominated her respondents and $65 \%$ of them have reached college.

On the other hand, according to Scace (2001) the materials used in flower arranging must contain the components of color, size, line, pattern form, and texture. In Pitlongay's (2001) study, the materials used by the florists of Dimasalang and Kanlaon, Manila were mostly using anthorium, Malaysian mums, roses, statice and orchid flower because of their availability in the market.

There are eight basic ways to arrange a floral design but within each shape the use of scale, variation of emphasis, choice of colors, containers, and textures provides the imagination with endless creative possibilities. These designs are horizontal, vertical, triangular, oval, crescent, lazy or hogarth curve, minimal and free standing arrangement (Ladilad, 2000).

Moreover, for weddings, the bridal and attendants bouquet styles are largely the preference of the bride. However, several factors should be considered when selecting bouquet styles and flowers. The first is the style of the wedding gown. The size of the bride and bridal attendants also influence the styles and the size of the bouquets (Griner, 1995).

On the other hand, Wright (1970) stated that to get the returns, this depends upon the interrelationship between three factors. The volume of sales, the profit margin on those sales, and the capital investment required to sustain the volume of sales.


## METHODOLOGY

## Locale and Time of the Study

The research was conducted in Dimasalang, Sampaloc, Metro Manila in December 2005. The study area was the old Dangwa station in Dimasalang. This area is very popular for the flowers selling.

## Respondents of the Study

There were 30 respondents that were taken from those who were regularly engaged in the floral design business in Dimasalang, Metro Manila.

## Data Collection

A prepared interview schedule was used in the collection of data. The researcher personally interviewed the respondents.

## Data gathered

The data gathered was on the different floral designs being produced and sold in Dimasalang, Manila, volume of production and the selling price per design, the mark-up and other services rendered by the floral designers, and the costs and returns from the floral design business.

## Data Analysis

The data and information gathered from the respondents were tabulated and interpreted according to the objectives of the study. Descriptive statistics using frequency and percentage were used in the data analysis. Estimate of costs and returns per type of floral design was done.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## Background of the Respondents

This section presents the distribution of the respondents according to their gender, civil status and age. The respondents were also classified according to the area they were selling, the bagsakan center and the retail area. These data were presented in Table 1.

Gender. Table 1 presents that the respondents were mostly male both at the bagsakan center and at the retail area. About $86 \%$ of the respondents at the bagsakan center were male and at the retail area there were about $57 \%$. This finding implies that there were more male engage in the flower business than the female. This maybe because this type of business needs some hauling activities where the male are needed to carry the flowers.

Civil status. The finding shows that majority of the respondents were married. Fifty seven percent (57\%) of the respondents at the bagsakan center were married and about $70 \%$ at the retail area were also married. This finding implies that majority of those engage in the flower arrangement business in Dimasalang were married.

Age. The youngest respondent at the bagsakan area was only 24 years old and the oldest was 52 years old. At the retail area, the youngest was 25 years old and the oldest was 55 years old. As to their age distribution, majority of the respondents at the bagsakan center were very young with ages ranging from 24 to 31 years old. At the retail area, 39\% were 32-39 years old and about 35\% were 24 - 31 years old. The remaining were equally distributed between 40-47 years old and 48-55 years old at 13\% each.

Table 1. General Profile of the respondents by business area

| CHARACTERISTICS | BAGSAKAN CENTER |  | RETAIL AREA |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | F | \% | F | \% |
| Gender |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 6 | 85.71 | 13 | 56.52 |
| Female | 1 | 14.29 | 10 | 43.48 |
| TOTAL | 7 | 100.00 | 23 | 100.00 |
| Civil Status |  |  |  |  |
| Married | 4 | 57.14 | 16 | 69.57 |
| Single |  | 42.86 | 7 | 30.43 |
| TOTAL | 7 | 100.00 | 23 | 100.00 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |
| 24-31 | 4 | 57.13 | 8 | 34.78 |
| $32-39$ | 1 | 14.29 | 9 | 39.13 |
| 40-47 | 1 | 14.29 | 3 | 13.04 |
| $48-55$ | 1 | 14.29 | 3 | 13.04 |
| TOTAL | 7 | 100.00 | 23 | 100.00 |

## Floral Designs Usually Ordered

Table 2 presents the floral designs usually ordered by the customers from the respondents both at the bagsakan center and at the retail area. It can be perceived from the result that there was only one kind of floral design ordered by customers from the
bagsakan center, the flower arrangement design. At the retail area, all the respondents mentioned that bouquet was usually ordered by their customers. About $87 \%$ mentioned flower arrangement was usually ordered by their customers while $57 \%$ and $30 \%$ mentioned corsage and leis, respectively. The finding shows that flower arrangement was the most popular floral design mostly ordered by customers flowed by bouquet at the retail area. Very few of the respondents (30\%) mentioned leis. This implies that leis is not a commonly ordered floral design. This maybe because it is only ordered during special occasion. This may also imply that very few of the respondents have skill on leis design thus the customers usually order from these seven (7) respondents.

Table 2. Floral designs usually ordered by customers by area

| FLORAL DESIGN | BAGSAKAN AREA |  | RETAIL AREA |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Flower arrangement | F | $\%$ | F |
| Corsage | 7 | 100 | 20 | 86.96 |
| Bouquet | 0 | 0 | 13 | 56.92 |
| Leis | 0 | 0 | 23 | 100.00 |

## Cost of Production

The cost of production refers to the cost of producing a unit of the finish floral design. This includes the flowers and other materials and the cost of labor spent in designing.

Table 3 shows that there were differences in cost of production between the bagsakan area and the retail area. In all of the kinds of floral design, the cost of production was higher at the retail area than at the bagsakan center. This was mainly due to the differences in the price of the flowers they used. The respondents at the bagsakan area can buy the flowers at a cheaper price compared to the respondents at the retail area.

There were also differences in the per unit cost of production as to size and type of design. Among the flower arrangement designs, the standing design had the highest per unit cost followed by the round or horizontal design. The center piece design had the lowest per unit cost followed by the flat spray. Among the bouquet design, the arm bouquet had the lowest cost while the cascading design had the highest cost.

It was also noted that the cost of producing a unit of the nose gay bouquet and the arm bouquet were cheaper at the retail area than at the bagsakan center. Furthermore, It was also noted that the cost of producing corsage and leis with special design was cheaper at the retail area than at the bagsakan center but for the ordinary design for both corsage and leis, it was cheaper at the bagsakan

There was also a very big difference in the cost of producing the ordinary corsage and ordinary leis compared to the special corsage and special leis. The ordinary design was very much cheaper to produce than the special design.

Table 3. Per unit cost of production by area and by type of floral design (in pesos)

| FLORAL DESIGN | BAGSAKAN AREA | RETAIL AREA | COST <br> DIFFERENCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flower Arrangement |  |  |  |
| Standing |  |  |  |
| Small | 141.43 | 316.96 | 175.53 |
| Medium | 232.86 | 509.57 | 276.71 |
| Big | 338.60 | 732.61 | 394.01 |
| Round/horizontal |  |  |  |
| Small | 118.57 | 430.35 | 311.78 |
| Medium | 190.00 | 604.35 | 414.35 |
| Big | 281.43 | 747.83 | 466.40 |
| Basket Arrangement |  |  |  |
| Small | 68.57 | 113.48 | 44.91 |
| Medium | 120.83 | 223.91 | 103.08 |
| Big | 190.00 | 386.96 | 196.96 |
| Flat Spray | 112.86 | 243.91 | 131.05 |
| Center Piece | 55.00 | 111.74 | 56.74 |
| Bouquet |  |  |  |
| Hand tied | 221.00 | 291.30 | 70.30 |
| Nose gay | 202.00 | 143.48 | -58.52 |
| Cascading | 308.33 | 517.39 | 209.06 |
| Arm bouquet | 176.57 | 160.43 | -11.14 |
| Corsage |  |  |  |
| Ordinary | 18.57 | 20.87 | 2.30 |
| Special | 62.14 | 57.39 | -4.75 |
| Leis |  |  |  |
| Ordinary | 57.14 | 72.17 | 15.03 |
| Special | 222.14 | 176.52 | -45.62 |

## Average Selling Price and Percent Mark-up

Average selling price. Table 4 presents the average selling price of the respondents in the two locations. It was observed that selling prices were generally higher at the retail area. The selling prices for the standing flower arrangement at the retail area were more than double the price at the bagsakan center. It was noted that the price of the big size standing flower arrangement was P1,060.25. The differences in the price of the small and the medium size flower arrangement were P309.31 and P375.78, respectively. There were some products however that selling prices were higher at the bagsakan area than at the retail area. These were the nose gay bouquet, arm bouquet and the special corsage. As was observed earlier, the cost of production for these mentioned product were lower at the retail area. This implies that one source of price differences was the per unit cost of production.

Table 4. Average selling price by area and by type of floral design (in pesos)

| FLORAL DESIGN | BAGSAKAN <br> AREA | RETAIL <br> AREA | PRICE <br> DIFFERENCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flower Arrangement |  |  |  |
| Standing | 292.86 | 602.17 | 309.31 |
| Small | 478.57 | 854.35 | 375.78 |
| Medium | 657.14 | $1,717.39$ | $1,060.25$ |
| Big |  |  |  |
| Round/horizontal | 241.43 | 659.78 | 418.35 |
| Small | 382.86 | 882.61 | 499.75 |
| Medium | 550 | $1,234.78$ | 684.78 |
| Big |  |  |  |

Table 4. continued...

| FLORAL DESIGN | BAGSAKAN <br> AREA | RETAIL <br> AREA | PRICE <br> DIFFERENCES |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Basket Arrangement |  |  |  |
| Small | 138.57 | 212.61 | 74.04 |
| Medium | 241.67 | 350.00 | 108.33 |
| Big | 400.00 | 739.13 | 339.13 |
| Flat Spray | 253.57 | 465.22 | 211.65 |
| Center Piece | 115.71 | 256.52 | 140.81 |
| Bouquet | 465.00 | 526.09 |  |
| Hand tied | 410.00 | 308.70 | -101.30 |
| Nose gay | 700.00 | $1,273.91$ | 573.91 |
| Cascading | 360.71 | 345.65 | -15.06 |
| Arm bouquet |  |  |  |
| Corsage | 38.57 | 41.97 | 3.09 |
| Ordinary | 128.57 | 117.12 | -11.45 |
| Special |  | 128.57 | 157.09 |
| Leis | 457.14 | 389.13 | 28.52 |
| Ordinary |  |  | 68.01 |
| Special |  |  |  |

## Percent Mark-up.

Table 5 presents that the percent mark-up of the respondents at the bagsakan center was generally lower than the price mark-up of the respondents at the retail area. For the small and medium size standing flower arrangement, the respondents at the
bagsakan center had a mark-up of $52 \%$ while those at the retail area were $90 \%$ and $68 \%$, respectively. For the big size, the mark-up at the bagsakan area was only $48 \%$ while at the retail area was $134 \%$. For the round/horizontal flower arrangement, the percent markup by respondents in the two locations were almost the same. For the other designs the percent mark-up by the respondents at the retail area was higher than the percent mark-up by the respondents at the bagsakan center.

As found earlier, the per unit cost of production of the respondents at the retail area was higher than the per unit cost of production of the respondents at the bagsakan center. However, the percent mark-up charged by the respondents at the retail area was higher than the percent mark-up charged by the respondents at the bagsakan center. This finding implies that the respondents at the retail area were earning higher profits than the respondents at the bagsakan center.

Table 5. Percent mark-up by area and by type of floral design

| FLORAL DESIGN | BAGSAKAN CENTER | RETAIL AREA |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Flower Arrangement |  |  |
| Standing | 52 |  |
| Small | 52 | 90 |
| Medium | 48 | 68 |
| Big |  | 134 |
| Round/horizontal | 51 | 53 |
| Small | 50 | 46 |
| Medium | 49 | 65 |
| Big |  |  |

Table 5. continued ...

| FLORAL DESIGN | BAGSAKAN CENTER | RETAIL AREA |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Basket Arrangement |  |  |
| Small | 51 | 87 |
| Medium | 50 | 56 |
| Big | 53 | 91 |
| Flat Spray | 56 | 91 |
| Center Piece | 52 | 130 |
| Bouquet | 52 | 81 |
| Hand tied | 56 | 115 |
| Nose gay | 51 | 146 |
| Cascading | 52 | 115 |
| Arm bouquet | 52 | 101 |
| Corsage | 56 | 104 |
| Ordinary | 51 |  |
| Special |  | 117 |
| Leis |  | 120 |
| Ordinary |  |  |
| Special |  |  |

## Volume Produced

Table 6 presents the volume of products produced by the respondents per year. The result shows that for the standing flower arrangement, more of the big and medium sizes were produced in the bagsakan area but more of the small size was produced at the retail area. Each respondent at the bagsakan center produce an average of 231 for the
small size; 463 for the medium size and 634 pieces for the big size while at the retail area, each respondent produced an average of 282 small; 237 medium and 133 big.

For the rounded flower arrangement, the average production was higher at the bagsakan center than at the retail area for all sizes. For the small size the difference was about 393 pieces while for the medium size the difference was 223 pieces and for the big size the difference was 79 pieces. The same was true for the basket arrangement and the flat spray but for the center piece the average production was higher at the retail area by 128 pieces. The average production for the bouquet, the corsage and the leis, there was much higher volume of production at the retail area than at the bagsakan center. The finding shows that the average production for floral design was higher at the retail area compared to the bagsakan center. This was because there were more buyers at the retail area for than at the bagsakan center.

It was also found that among the basket arrangement, the small size was much demanded compared to the other sizes. For the bouquet, the arm bouquet was highly demanded compared to the hand tied, nose gray and the cascading. The cascading design was not produced at the bagsakan center. For the corsage, the ordinary design was more demanded than the special design. The same was true for the leis. This was because the price of the ordinary design was much lower than the price of the special design. This implies that most of the customers preferred to buy the cheaper floral designs than the dearer ones.

Table 6. Volume produced by the respondents in the two locations, in pieces

| FLORAL DESIGN | BAGSAKAN CENTER | RETAIL AREA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flower Arrangement |  |  |
| Standing |  |  |
| Small | 231 | 282 |
| Medium | 463 | 237 |
| Big | 634 | 133 |
| Round/horizontal |  |  |
| Small | 600 | 207 |
| Medium | 343 | 120 |
| Big | 171 | 92 |
| Basket Arrangement |  |  |
| Small | 943 | 577 |
| Medium | 343 | - 237 |
| Big | 120 | 83 |
| Flat Spray | 737 | 162 |
| Center Piece | 206 | 334 |
| Bouquet |  |  |
| Hand tied | 51 | 280 |
| Nose gay | 34 | 425 |
| Cascading | - | 68 |
| Arm bouquet | 403 | 509 |
| Corsage |  |  |
| Ordinary | 154 | 524 |
| Special | 34 | 305 |
| Leis |  |  |
| Ordinary | 6 | 290 |
| Special | 3 | 172 |

## Total Sales

The total sales per year by each individual floral designer is presented in Table 7. For the standing flower arrangement, total sales from the big size was the highest at the bagsakan center with almost P416,627 while at the retail area it was from the big size with P228,413. For the round/horizontal, sales from the small size in both location gave the highest with P144,858 at the bagsakan center and P136,574 at the retail area. This was also true with the basket arrangement with P130,672 at the bagsakan center and P122,676 at the retail area. In addition, it was found that the standing flower arrangement gave the highest total sales, with P705,855 among the floral design at the bagsakan center and also at the retail area, it was the bouquet that gave the highest total sales with P600,706.

Table 7. Total sales per year by the respondents in the two locations

| FLORAL DESIGN | BAGSAKAN CENTER | RETAIL AREA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flower Arrangement |  |  |
| Standing | $67,650.66$ | $169,811.94$ |
| Small | $22,577.91$ | $202,480.95$ |
| Medium | $416,626.76$ | $228,412.87$ |
| Big | $705,855.33$ | $600,705.76$ |
| TOTAL |  |  |
| Round/horizontal | 144,858 | $136,574.46$ |
| Small | $131,320.98$ | $105,913.20$ |
| Medium | 94,050 | $113,599.76$ |
| Big | $370,228.98$ | $356,087.42$ |
| TOTAL |  |  |

Table 7. continued ....

| Basket Arrangement |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Small | $130,671.51$ | $122,675.97$ |
| Medium | $82,892.81$ | 82,950 |
| Big | 48,000 | $61,347.79$ |
| TOTAL | $261,564.32$ | $266,973.76$ |
| Flat Spray | $186,881.09$ | $75,365.64$ |
| Center Piece | $23,836.26$ | $85,677.68$ |
| Bouquet | 23,715 |  |
| Hand tied | 13,940 | $147,305.20$ |
| Nose gay | - | $131,197.50$ |
| Cascading | $145,366.13$ | $86,625.88$ |
| Arm bouquet | $183,021.13$ | $175,935.85$ |
| TOTAL |  | $541,064.43$ |
| Corsage | $5,939.78$ |  |
| Ordinary | $4,371.38$ | $21,992.28$ |
| Special | $10,311.16$ | $35,721.60$ |
| TOTAL |  | $57,713.88$ |
| Leis |  | $45,556.10$ |
| Tordinary |  | $112,486.46$ |

## Total Production Cost

The total cost of production incurred by each seller is presented in Table 8. The result shows that the total cost of production for the standing flower arrangement was about P355,157 at the bagsakan center and about P307,588 at the retail area. For the round/horizontal design, the total cost incurred by the sellers at the bagsakan center was about P184,436 and at the retail area, it was about P230,405. Total cost for the basket design was 128,906 pesos at the bagsakan center and about 150,662 at the retail area. For the flat spray and center piece, the cost at the bagsakan center was P83,178 and P11,330, respectively while at the retail area the costs for the same floral designs were P39,513 and P37,321, respectively. The cost of production of the bouquet, corsage and leis at the bagsakan center were as follows: P88,787, P4,973, and P,009, respectively. For the same designs at the retail area, the costs were P259,384, P28,440, and P51,291.18 respectively.

Table 8. Total cost of production incurred by the respondents in the two locations

| FLORAL DESIGN | BAGSAKAN CENTER | RETAIL AREA |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Flower Arrangement |  |  |
| Standing | $32,670.33$ | $89,382.72$ |
| Small | $107,814.18$ | $120,768.09$ |
| Medium | $214,672.40$ | $97,437.13$ |
| Big | $355,156.991$ | $307,587.94$ |
| TOTAL |  |  |
| Round/horizontal | $71,142.00$ | 89.082 .45 |
| Small | $65,170.00$ | $72,522.00$ |
| Medium | $48,124.53$ | $68,800.36$ |
| Big | $184,436.53$ | $230,404.81$ |
| TOTAL |  |  |

Table 8. continued ..

| Basket Arrangement |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Small | $64,661.51$ | $65,477.96$ |
| Medium | $41,444.69$ | $53,066.67$ |
| Big | $22,800.00$ | $32,117.68$ |
| TOTAL | $128,906.20$ | $150,662.31$ |
| Flat Spray | $83,177.82$ | $39,513.42$ |
| Center Piece | $11,330.00$ | $37,321.16$ |
| Bouquet | $10,761.00$ |  |
| Hand tied | $6,868.00$ | $81,564.00$ |
| Nose gay | $71,157.71$ | $60,979.00$ |
| Cascading | $88,786.71$ | $35,182.52$ |
| Arm bouquet |  | $81,658.87$ |
| TOTAL | $2,859.78$ | $259,384.39$ |
| Corsage | $2,112.76$ | $10,935.88$ |
| Ordinary | $4,972.54$ | $17,503.95$ |
| Special |  | $28,439.83$ |
| TOTAL | 342.84 | $20,929.30$ |
| Leis | 666.42 | $30,361.44$ |
| Ordinary | $1,009.26$ | $51,290.74$ |
| TOTAL |  |  |

## Service Package

Aside from the production and selling of floral design, the respondents also offer other services like church decor, reception décor, and bridal entourage. The total income and total cost from the services offered are presented in Table 9. These other services were church decoration, reception decoration, and bridal entourage. The total income
derived by each respondent per year at the bagsakan center was P289,643 and the total cost was P183,750. The net income from these services was P105,893. On the other hand, the total income realized by each seller at the retail area per year was P191,391 pesos with a total cost of P153,870 and a net income of P37,522.

Table 9. Gross income, total cost and net income from other services offered

| ITEM | BAGSAKAN CENTER | RETAIL AREA |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Gross income |  |  |
| Church décor | $60,714.29$ | $66,739.13$ |
| Reception décor | $113,571.43$ | $43,695.65$ |
| Bridal entourage | $289,642.80$ | $80,956.52$ |
| Total |  | $191,391.30$ |
| Total Cost | $30,357.14$ |  |
| Church décor | $14,142.86$ | $34,565.22$ |
| Raw Materials | $44,500.00$ | $19,239.13$ |
| Labor | $30,357.14$ | $53,804.35$ |
| Sub-Total | $14,714.29$ |  |
| Reception décor | $45,071.43$ | $21,847.83$ |
| Raw Materials |  | $12,456.52$ |
| Labor | $57,678.60$ | $34,304.35$ |
| Sub-Total | $36,500.00$ |  |
| Bridal entourage | $94,178.60$ | $40,478.26$ |
| Raw Materials | 183,750 | $25,282.61$ |
| Labor |  | $65,760.87$ |
| Sub-Total | $16,214.29$ | $153,869.57$ |
| TOTAL | $68,500.00$ |  |
| Net Income | $21,178.54$ | $12,934.78$ |
| Church décor | $105,892.83$ | $9,391.30$ |
| Reception décor |  | $15,195.65$ |
| Bridal entourage | $37,521.73$ |  |
| Total |  |  |

## Costs and Returns from Floral Design Business

Table 10 presents the costs and returns analysis from the flower arrangement business at Dimasalang, Manila. At the bagsakan center, the gross income per seller was 2 million pesos with a total cost of 1 million pesos and the net income was 931,278.15 million pesos. At the retail area, the gross income was about 2.3 million pesos in one year. The total cost was 1.3 million pesos and the net income was $967,299.16$ million pesos. It is apparent that the net income was higher at the retail area but the returns above total cost and the returns to variable cost was higher at the bagsakan center. The returns above fixed cost was higher at the retail area.

Table 10. Costs and Returns from floral design business at Dimasalang, Metro Manila

| ITEM | BAGSAKAN CENTER | RETAIL AREA |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Gross income |  |  |
| Sales from floral designs | $1,722,388.11$ | $2,096,075.03$ |
| Service income | $289,642.80$ | $191,391.30$ |
| Total | $2,012,030.91$ | $2,287,466.33$ |
| Total Cost |  |  |
| Raw materials | $859,542.28$ | $1,104,062.82$ |
| Labor | $77,142.86$ | $155,217.39$ |
| Utilities | $25,114.29$ | $29,634.78$ |
| Depreciation | 724.76 | $1,934.78$ |
| Rent | $103,142.86$ | $11,008.70$ |
| Miscellaneous | $15,085.71$ | $20,243.48$ |
| Total | $1,080,572.76$ | $1,320,167.17$ |
| Net Income | $931,278.15$ | $967,299.16$ |
| Returns Above Total Cost | 0.86 | 0.73 |
| Returns Above Variable Cost | 1.06 | 0.86 |
| Returns above fixed cost | 4.52 | 4.89 |

## SUMMARY, CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS


#### Abstract

Summary This study was conducted find out the following: the kinds of floral design produced and sold by flower shops in Dimasalang, Manila, the kinds of floral design usually ordered by customers, and to estimate the costs and return from the floral design business.


There were 30 respondents in this study and all of them were operating a flower shop in Dimasalang, Manila. Majority of the respondents at the bagsakan center were male, married and 24-31 years old. At the retail area, majority were male and married and most of them belonged to the $32-39$ years old bracket.

The most common floral designs produced and sold by the respondents were flower arrangement, bouquet, and corsage. It was only the flower arrangement designs that were usually ordered and bought by customers at the Bagsakan Center while at the retail area, all the three floral designs including leis were produced and sold.

There were differences in the average cost of production between the bagsakan area and the retail area. In all the kinds of floral design, the cost of production was always higher at the retail area than at the bagsakan center. This was due to the higher cost of flowers at the retail area. There were also differences in the per unit cost as to sizes and types of design.

There were also observed difference in the average selling prices of the respondents in the two locations. The selling prices at the retail area were generally
higher than the prices at the bagsakan area. Furthermore, the difference in the price of the some floral design at the retail area was twice the price at the bagsakan center.

The percent mark-up of the respondents in the retail area were generally higher. Some were even more than one hundred percent higher. At the bagsakan area the percent mark-up in all the kinds of floral design were less than one hundred percent.

The volume of products produced was higher in the retail area than in the bagsakan center. This shows that demand for flowers at the retail area was higher than at the bagsakan area. This was evident by the multi-million pesos sales at the retail area.

Aside from the floral designs that the respondents produce and sell, they also offer special services to customers in church décor, reception décor and bridal entourage. It was also observed that there were differences in the prices of their services. As usual they prices were higher at the retail area than at the bagsakan center.

The net income per floral designer at the bagsakan center was much higher than the net income at the retail area. However, the returns above total cost and the returns above variable cost were higher at the bagsakan center except for the returns above fixed cost that was much higher at the retail area.

## Conclusions

Base on the findings of the study, the following conclusions were made:

1. There were different kinds of floral designs made and sold at the Dimasalang flower market, specially at the retail area.
2. The volume of floral designs produced, the total sales, the percent mark-up, the cost of production, and net income were higher at the retail area than at the bagsakan center.
3. Returns above total cost and returns above variable cost were higher at the bagsakan center than at the retail area because of the much lesser cost of production in this location because the variable cost at the bagsakan center was much lower than at the retail area.
4. Returns above fixed cost at the bagsakan center was lower indicating that the level of fixed cost at the two locations were the same but the volume of sales was very much lower at the bagsakan center compared to the sales at the retail area thus leading to a high returns above fixed cost.

## Recommendations

1. Florists must have innovations of all the floral designs they are doing. Most especially designs that are preferred by customers.
2. Florists at retail area must find way to lessen there cost of production.
3. Florists at bagsakan area must increase there volume of sales to have higher returns.
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## APPENDIX

Appendix A. Interview Schedule
Name $\qquad$ Civil Status $\qquad$
Age $\qquad$
A. Floral Designs

1. What floral designs are usually ordered by your customers
a. flower arrangements
b. corsage
c. bouquet
d. leis
2. Cost of materials and labor used for different types of floral designs and selling price.

|  | Cost of Materials |  |  | Labor ued |  |  |  | Selling price |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Type of floral designs | Flowe r | Foliage | Others (basket, ribbon, etc.) | C | H | F | O |  |
| Flower arrangement |  |  |  | , |  |  |  |  |
| a. Standing |  |  |  | r- |  |  |  |  |
| Small |  |  |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Big |  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| b. Round/horizontal |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Small |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Big |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| c. Basket type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Small |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Big |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  <br> center piece |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2. Bouquet |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Hand tied |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nose gay |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Cascading |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3. Corsage |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ordinary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Special |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. Leis |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Ordinary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| special |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

3. What is your basis in determining your selling price?
___Cost and mark-up
___ prevailing price in the market
$\qquad$ others (please specify)
4. For every occasion, what are the flower designs usually ordered? Please give the
average number of orders of the floral designs for each month

| Type of <br> Designs | Weddings | Birthdays | Inauguration | Debuts | Funerals | For <br> resale | Others |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1. Flower <br> arrangement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| a. Standing |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Small |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Medium |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


5. What are the service packages you offer to your customers?

| SERVICES | PACKAGES |  | CONTRACT | NUMBER OF |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| WUMBER | TYPE | PRICE | ORDER/MO. |  |
| Church décor |  |  |  |  |
| Reception decor |  |  |  |  |
| Bridal entourage |  |  |  |  |
| Bridal car |  |  |  |  |
| Complete |  |  |  |  |
| package |  |  |  |  |
| Other Special Oc. |  |  |  |  |
| Hall Décor |  |  |  |  |
| Bouquet, corsage, |  |  |  |  |
| leis |  |  |  |  |
| Complete package |  |  |  |  |

B. Employees

Number of employees $\qquad$
Basis of computing wage
Rate
Per day
Per piece of finish product
$\qquad$
Per month
Others (please specify) $\qquad$
$\qquad$
C. Utilities/Equipment/ Tools

1. What are the equipment/tools you are using in your operation?

| Equipment/Tools | Year Purchased | Purchase Price | Life Span |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Cutter |  |  |  |
| Prunning shear |  |  |  |
| Scissors |  |  |  |
| Display rack |  |  |  |
| Sprayer |  |  |  |


| Pail |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

2. How ,much do you pay for stall rent per month?
3. How much do you pay for utilities per month?
a. Water
b. Electricity
D. Other costs ( Please specify)
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

