July-December 2014 BSU Research Journal No. 72

John G. Tacloy and Lanie C. Paran College of Forestry, Benguet State University

The amount of carbon stocked and carbon dioxide sequestered by eight-year old Alnus trees were estimated using a destructive sampling method. The carbon contents were computed using the published average values for tropical trees which is 45 - 50 percent of dry matter and the CO₂ sequestered was computed based on the published CO₂ to C ratio which is 3.6663. The research was conducted in May 2012 at an Alnus stand at the BSU-College of Forestry, La Trinidad, Benguet.

The average above-ground biomass (AGB) and below-ground biomass (BGB) of the Alnus trees was 140.99 kg per tree, and their average oven-dry weight was 70.91kg per tree. The AGB was 71.56%, and the BGB was 28.44% of the total biomass. The computed biomass of the *Alnus* trees using a published formula is much lower; their average AGB is 24.665%, their BGB is 12.58%, and their total computed biomass is 21.18% of the actual biomass.

Based on the oven-dried weight data, the computed average carbon contents of the Alnus trees was 31.91kg per tree, and the average carbon dioxide they sequestered was 116.999 kg per tree in eight-years, or an average of 14.63 kg per tree per year.

Base on the findings, *Alnus* trees can approximate or exceed the published average CO₂ sequestration rate for mature trees which is 48 lb per year. Further, a hectare *Alnus* plantation with an average of 2m x 2m spacing cansequester about 292.6 tons of CO₂ in eight years, or an average of 36.575 tons of CO₂ per year which is equivalent to the CO₂ emissions of about 16 persons.

INTRODUCTION

Human population and biodiversity are in peril due to during the pre-industrial period (1750-1800) to 353 the adverse climatic changes that include the continuing ppm in 1990 (IPCC, 1990 as cited by Lofrango, 2006). rise of the earth's temperature. Climate change is As announced by US monitors, the level of CO₂ in the attributed mainly to human activities that enormously atmosphere has gone over 400 ppm for the first time in increase greenhouse gases in the global atmosphere human history; data as of May 9, 2013 showed that (UNFCC, 1994). The human activities resulting to the daily CO₂ over the Pacific Ocean was 400.003 ppm increase of emissions of carbon, a major greenhouse (Esteves, 2013). Climate change mitigation measures gas, include logging, slash and burn cultivation, range are being promoted. In reducing carbon concentrations land burning, mining and industrialization. in the atmosphere, reforestation is a major strategy as the trees absorb CO_2 in the atmosphere and store it in Scientists estimate that carbon emission in the their tissues through photosynthesis.

atmosphere has increased by 30% since the preindustrial time of the 1800's and continued to increase In the Cordillera Administrative Region, Alnus at an average rate of 0.4% per year (Lasco, 2004). *japonica* (Figure 1) is among the exotic species used in Carbon dioxide concentration increased from 280 ppm reforestation. Bare-root and potted Alnus seedlings

CARBON STOCKED AND CARBON DIOXIDE SEQUESTERED **BY EIGHT-YR ALNUS** (Alnus japonica)

Abstract

Key Words: Carbon stock, CO₂ sequestration, above-ground and below-ground biomass, artificial Alnus stand

are generally used as planting stocks. The species is recommended in areas with altitude over 600 m and with rainfall of less than 50 mm per month for 4-6 months.

The tree is planted to improve the stability of slopes through its extensive root system. Alnus japonica is also planted as shade of coffee plantations in many areas in Benguet and Mountain Province.

Lee (1992) noted that being a nitrogen fixer, Alnus enriches the fertility of degraded lands. This capability is made possible by the association of actinomycetes of genus Frankia with Alnus roots resulting in the development of root nodules (Figure 2).

Moreover, the tree is fast – growing which implies that it can sequester much carbon dioxide for its growth, an attribute essential in reducing pollution that mainly triggers adverse climate change. Knowing the value

of Alnus in carbon dioxide sequestration can promote people's interest in using the species in reforestation and agroforestation.

It is mainly in this aspect that this study was conducted.

A major problem associated with the continuous rise of earth's temperature is the increase of carbon emissions that adversely affects climate.

Planting trees remains the cheapest and most effective means of drawing excess carbon dioxide from the atmosphere; a single mature tree can absorb carbon dioxide at a rate of 48 lb per year and release oxygen back into the atmosphere enough to support two human beings (Nowak, undated).

The output of the study can be used to calculate the carbon dioxide sequestration by existing, or to be established Alnus stand as an aid for project development planning and environmental education. The results are also important in validating average values that are published

as to the shoot-root biomass ratio, and carbon sequestration rate in trees.



Figure 1. The Alnus stand beside the College of Forestry, Benguet State University, La Trinidad, Benguet; taken on May 3, 2012



The study is limited to the eight-year old Alnus sta artificially established within the College of Forest campus, Benguet State University, La Trinidad, Bengu The data were obtained only from three selected tre representing the large, medium, and small sizes of t Alnus stand. The root system was carefully dug-out b some parts may not have been fully taken out. T biomass of the tree at the time they were harvested w the sole basis in estimating their carbon stock and carbo dioxide sequestration rate.

It is hypothesized that Alnus, being a fast growing tr species, sequesters CO₂ as efficiently as the other tr species.

This study aimed to obtain information that ma promote people's appreciation of the environment role of Alnus trees, thereby motivating them to use t trees in reforestation and agro-forestation. specifically aimed to:

1. determine the average biomass of the shoot an root systems of eight-year old Alnus trees, and

estimate the carbon stored and carbon dioxide 2. sequestered by the Alnus trees.



Figure 2. Alnus root nodules; the left photo shows partly unearthed roots of a sample Alnus tree while the right photo shows a washed Alnus roots with the nodules; photographed on May 10, 2012

nd	MATERIAL AND
try	
let.	METHODS Data Collection
ees	
the	Determination of the underground and above-ground
out	biomass. To determine the total biomass of the Alnus
he	trees, a destructive sampling method was used.
vas	
on	Three selected Alnus trees representing the big,
	medium and small (Figure 3) were felled, the branches
	separated from the trunk and the leaves removed.
ree	
ree	The trunks (main stems) were bucked into 0.5 meters
	long (Figure 4) while the twigs and small branches were
	cut into 0.5 meters or shorter (Figure 5).
ay	
tal	The sawdust resulting from felling and bucking were
the	collected (Figure 6). The roots were carefully dug-out,
It	washed and cut into 0.5 meter sections or shorter. The
	weights of bucked stems and roots were determined
	separately using a weighing scale.
nd	
	Samples of the cut stems and roots were oven-dried
	to determine the oven-dried weights (Figure 7).
do	

July-December 2014

BSU Research Journal No. 72



Figure 3. The three selected 8-year old *Alnus* tree samples; large (left photo), medium(center) and small (right) *Alnus* tree samples



Figure 4. Logs cut into 0.5 m sections of the selected *Alnus* trees: LT – large tree, MT – medium tree, and ST – small tree



Figure 5. Branches and twigs from the three *Alnus* tree samples: LTB = large tree branches, MTB = medium tree branches and STB = small tree branches



Figure 6. Collected sawdusts from bucking the three *Alnus* tree samples into 0.5 m sections



Figure 7. Photos of oven-dried Alnus specimens

Estimation of carbon stocked and carbon dioxide DBH), and 0.15 D2L (for trees $\geq 11^{\circ}$ in DBH, sequestered. The average carbon content of the trees was computed using the average for vegetation which where: D = Diameter in inches, and is generally 45-50 percent of the total dry matter (Schlesinger, 1991; Chan, 1982).

According to Paladinic, et al. (2009), the carbon content in biomass based on the general result of laboratory analyses of samples of main tree components was around 50%. However, the lower value (45 %) was used in this research to avoid possible over estimation.

Similarly, CO₂ sequestered by the Alnus trees were computed using the CO₂ to C ratio which is 43.999915/12.001115 or 3.6663 as published http://www. broward . org/NaturalResources/ ClimateChange/Documents/Calculating%20CO₂%20 Sequestration%20by%20Trees.pdf.

For comparison purposes, the above-ground biomass (AGB) and average underground biomass (BGB) of the Alnus trees were computed using a published formula as follows:

Weight (lb) = 0.25 D2L (for trees < 11" in

L = Length in ft.

Determination of the weight of CO₂ sequestered by the Alnus trees per year. The average weight of carbon dioxide captured by the Alnus trees per year was determined by dividing the weight of the carbon dioxide sequestered by the age of the Alnus trees which is eight.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Average Biomass of Eight-Year Old Alnus Trees

The average AGB of the three eight – year old *Alnus* trees was 100.89 kg and the BGB was 40.10 kg, or an average total biomass of 140.99 kg per tree (Table 1).

The AGB has a range of 20.06 - 182.63 kg and the BGB has a range of 10.04 - 73.03 kg.

BSU Research Journal No. 72

The results are shown in Table 2. The comparison between the actual recorded data and the computed values for the three eight-year old Alnus trees is shown in Table 3.

The computed biomass based on the published equation is very much lower. Based on the computed averages, the AGB is only 24.665%, the BGB is only 12.58%, and the total biomass is only 21.18% of the actual recorded biomass.

Table 1. Average shows ground and below ground biomage of the Almer trace

Table 1. Average abov	Table 1. Average above-ground and below ground biomass of the Alnus trees							
ALNUS TREE	ABOVE	GROUND BIO (kg)	DMASS	BELOW GROUND	TOTAL			
SAMPLES	Main Stem	Branches and Twigs	Total	BIOMASS (kg)				
Large	135.47	47.16	182.63	73.03	255.66			
Medium	61.95	30.03	91.98	37.24	129.22			
Small	24.9143	3.148	28.06	10.04	38.1			
Total	222.3343	80.338	302.67	120.31	422.98			
Average	74.1114	26.779	100.89 (71.56%)	40.10 (28.44%)	140.99			

Table 2. Computed biomass of the Alnus trees

ALNUS TREE SAMPLE	AGB* (kg)	BGB** (kg)	Total*** (kg)
Large	40.97	8.194	49.164
Medium	19.63	3.926	23.556
Small	14.054	2.811	16.865
Total	74.654	14.931	89.585
Average	24.88	4.977	29.86

**20% of AGB

*** AGB + BGB or AGB x 1.20

July-December 2014

Table 3. Comparison between the actual recorded biomass data of 8- year old Alnus trees and the computed biomass based on published equation (0.25D2L and 0.15D2L for trees < 11" DBH and for trees ≥ 11 " DBH, respectively)

		AGB			BGB			TOTAL BI	OMASS
TDEE								(AGB +	AGB)
TREE SAMPLE	Actual	Com	puted	Actual Computed			Actual	Computed $(k\alpha)$	Percent of Computed Value
	(kg)	(kg)	% Based on Actual	(kg)	(kg)	% Based on Actual	(kg)	(kg)	Based on Actual
Large	182.63	40.97	22.39	73.03	8.194	11.22	255.66	49.164	19.23
Medium	91.98	19.63	21.34	37.24	3.296	10.54	129.22	23.556	18.229
Small	28.06	14.054	50.08	10.04	2.811	27.998	38.1	16.865	44.265
Total/ Percent	302.67	74.654	24.665	120.31	15.137	12.58	422.98	89.585	21.18
Average	100.89	24.885		40.103	5.046		140.99	29.861	

The discrepancies can be mainly due to the fact that **Shoot-Root Ratio of the 8-Year** the equation considered only the main stem or bole of Old Alnus Trees the tree. The actual data included the mass of branches and twigs.

Less the twigs and branches, the discrepancies were lessened but were still very high (Table 4). The computed AGB is 33.58% of the actual values on the average with a range of 30.24 - 56.4%, as compared to only 24.67% (average) and a range of 22.39 – 50.08% when the branches and twigs were included.

The other source of discrepancy in the BGB and total biomass is attributed to the higher actual values of the BGB (average of 28.44% of the AGB). The published average values used in the computation is that, BGB is only 20% of the AGB; 8.44% less than the recorded data.

Other possible sources of the discrepancies are species, geographic, edaphic, and climatic variations. Lasco, et al. (undated) reported that plantation species have differing biomass density which could be due to age differences and uneven site conditions.

The root – shoot data from the three selected *Alnus* trees are shown in Table 5. The average shoot-root ratio of the Alnus trees is 2.516. This means that the shoot weight is about 2.5 times that of the root weight: root is 28.44% of the total greenweight.

This value is more by 8.44% than the average values for tropical trees as publishedinhttp://www.broward. org/NaturalResources/ClimateChange/Documents/ Calculating%20CO2%20Sequestration%20by%20 Trees.pdfwhich is 20%.

The study conducted for eight-year -old Poplar in India showed that the above ground components contributed 78.68% and the below ground components contributed 21.32% of the biomass; the shoot-root ratio was 3.69 (Singh and Lodhiyal, 2009). The shoot-root ratios for most trees under normal conditions are 5:1 to 6:1 (Richard, 1992).

The recorded shoot-root ratio of *Alnus* in this study

BSU Research Journal No. 72

Table 4. Comparison of the actual recorded biomass of the 8-year old Alnus with the computed values based on the published equation

		AGB			BGB			TOTAL	
	Actual	Con	nputed	Actual	Con	nputed	Actual	Computed	% of
TREE SAMPLE	(Bole only) (kg)	(kg)	%Based from Actual	(kg)	(kg)	%Based from Actual	(kg)	(kg)	Computed Value Based from Actual
Large	135.47	40.97	30.24	73.03	8.19	11.22	208.5	49.16	23.58
Medium	61.95	19.63	31.67	37.24	3.296	10.54	99.19	56.87	57.33
Small	24.91	14.05	56.4	10.04	2.811	28.00	34.95	24.09	68.93
Total/ Percent	222.33	74.65	33.58	120.31	15.14	12.58	342.64	130.13	37.98
Average	74.111	24.59		40.10	5.046		114.22	43.376	

Table 5. Shoot-root ratio by weight of the eight – year old *Alnus* trees

ALNUS TREE	ABOVE C BIOM			GROUND ⁄IASS	TOTAL	RATIO
SAMPLES	(kg)	Percent	(kg)	Percent	(kg)	
Large	182.63	71.435	73.03	28.56	255.66	2.500
Medium	91.98	71.18	37.24	28.82	129.22	2.465
Small	28.06	73.64	10.04	26.35	38.10	2.795
Total/Percent	302.67	71.56%	120.31	28.44%	422.98	
Average	100.89		40.103		140.99	2.516

is much lower (2.516:1). In this study, the leaves were not ClimateChange/Documents/Calculating%20CO2%20 included. With the leaves, the shoot weight will be a little Sequestration%20by%20Trees.pdf.Lasco, *et* al. higher. These cited reports and this resultindicate that (undated) reported that the carbon content in trees in a biomass productionvaries among trees. secondary forest in Makiling Forest Reserve was 44 percent, similar with that of the land cover types **Carbon and Carbon Dioxide** biomass in Pantabangan-Caranglan Watershed.

Sequestered by Eight -Year Old Alnus

Hence, the lower value (45%) was used in the Table 6 shows the data on the biomass and equivalent computation in this study to avoid over estimation. Using the weights of the oven-dried wood samples of the Alnus carbon content and the carbon dioxide sequestered by trees and the above mentioned published values, the the three eight-year old Alnus trees. carbon contents and carbon dioxide sequestered by the In vegetation, the carbon content constitutes between eight-year old Alnus trees were computed.

45-50 percent of dry matter (Schlesinger, 1991; Chan, 1982), and the ratio of carbon dioxide to carbon is 3.6663 http://www.broward.org/NaturalResources/

The three Alnus trees were able to store a total of 95.73 kg of carbon or an average of 3.99 kg carbon per

BSU Research Journal No. 72

tree per year. This amount of carbon stocked is equivalent to a total of 350.98 kg of CO₂ sequestered, or an average of 14.63 kg (32.186 lb) of CO₂ sequestered by each tree per year.

This result is less by 15.814 lbs as compared with the carbon dioxide sequestration rate of a mature tree which is 48lb per year as published in http:www.coloradotrees. org/benefits.htm, and the sequestration rate in 8-year-old Poplar (Populusdeltoides) which is 24 kg (52.8 lbs) per year (Singh and Lodhiyal, 2009). Since the trees are only eight years old (considered still young), their carbon dioxide sequestration rates canbe expected to increase as they further grow to reach their peak growth.

Based on the computed average carbon dioxide sequestration of *Alnus* at eight years, a hectare *Alnus* plantation with an average of 2m x 2m spacing can sequester 36,575 kg (36.575 t) of carbon dioxide per year which is equivalent to the amount of carbon dioxide emitted by 16 persons. This is based on the estimate that a person produces about 2.3 tons carbon dioxide each year (Nowak, undated).

Moisture Content of Eight–Year Old *Alnus* Trees

Table 7 shows the computed moisture content of wood samples from the 8 – year old *Alnus* trees. The moisture content of the oven – dried samples for the large, medium and small *Alnus* trees were 26.72 kg (48.14%), 17.36 kg (52.81%), and 5.324 kg (43.75%) respectively, or an average of 16.46 kg or 48.41%, while the computed moisture content based on the oven-dried samples were 121.89 kg (47.68%), 71.49 kg (55.32%), and 16.865 kg (44.27%), a total of 210.245 kg and an average of 70.08 kg or 49.71% per tree.

This means that in an eight-year old *Alnus*, the wood or the dry matter is just a bit more than half of its green weight. The computed moisture content is higher than the average value for trees published in http://www.broward.org/ NaturalResources/ClimateChange/Documents/ Calculating%20CO₂%20Sequestration%20by%20 Trees.pdf which is about 27.5% (72.5% is dry matter).

Table 6. Summary of the total green and oven-dry weights, and carbon content and the carbon dioxide sequestered by eight-year old *Alnus* trees

	AGB	BGB	TOTAL	OVEN* DRY	CARBON	CO ₂ SEQUE (kg)	
TREE SAMPLE	(kg)	(kg)	(kg)	WEIGHT (kg)	CONTENT (kg)	For Eight-Year	Per Year
Large	182.63	73.03	255.66	133.77	60.197	220.698	27.59
Medium	91.98	37.24	129.22	57.73	25.98	95.25	11.91
Small	28.06	10.04	38.1	21.235	9.556	35.034	4.38
Total	302.67	120.31	422.98	212.735	95.73	350.98	43.88
Average	100.89	40.10	140.99	70.91	31.91	116.994	14.63

* Computed based on data from Table 7 (Total fresh weight - total moisture content)

		FRESH WEIGHT	EIGHT			MOISTUI	MOISTURE CONTENT OF OVEN-	FOFOVEN-	DRIED		COMPUTED MC IN KG	ED MC IN	KG
TREE			(kg)				SAMPLES				(Based on MC of Oven-	MC of Ov	en-
SAMPLE	[*]						(kg and percent) *	ent) *			Dried Samples)	iples)	
	Main	Branches	Total	BGB	Total	Main	Branches	Roots	Total	Main	Branches	Roots	Total
	Stem		AGB			Stem				Stem			
Large	135.47	47.16	182.63	73.03	255.66	19.667	1.3626	5.69	26.72	67.45 20.66	20.66	33.78	121.89
						79%)(4 9.	81%)(43.	26%)(46.	66%)(48				68%)(47.
Medium	9561.	0330.	91.98	37.24	22129.	1810.	830.	356.	3617.	0032.	19.62	8719.	4971.
						(51.66%)	(65.35%)	(53.35%)	(52.81%)				(55.32%)
Small	24.914	3.148	28.06	10.04	38.10	3.359	0.32	1.645	5.324	10.08	1.765	5.02	16.865
						47%)(4 0			75%)(43				
Total	334222.	338108.	330.67	120.31	422.98	0. 33.206	2.5122	6913.	40449.	109.5	109.5 42.045	6758.	210.245
									(49.13%)	3			(49.71%)
Mean * Derrent	1174.	11336.	22110.	40.10	140.99	11.07	0.8374	4.563	46816.	36.51	14.015	19.56	70.08
MC	is based on	is based on freshweight							(7013%)				(7012.077

CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Results show that the total fresh weights of the above- discrepancies are age, species and site variations. ground biomass (AGB) and below-ground biomass

biomass, or an AGB – BGB ratio of 2.516. This BGB total green weights. The computed moisture contents value (28.44%) is 8.44% more than a published average are much higher than a published average values for value for tropical trees.

The carbon contents of the large, medium and small Based on the results of the study, it is concluded that tree, and the total carbon dioxide they sequestered were study site will have: 1) attained an average fresh weight of 350.98 kg of carbon dioxide sequestered by the about 71.56% and 28.44%, respectively, and 2) Alnus trees for eight years, or an average of 14.63 kg stocked about an average of 31.91kg of carbonwhich (32.186 lbs) per tree per year. Based on this average is equivalent to14.63 kg or 32.186 lb carbon dioxide sequestration rate, a hectare of *Alnus* trees with 2m x sequestered by a tree per year. 2m spacing and at least eight – year old can sequester 36.575 tons of carbon dioxide per year that can balance the carbon dioxide emission of about 16 persons.

The computed biomass of each of the *Alnus* tree is 1. An *Alnus* tree, at least eight – year old, can sequester very much lower; their average AGB is only 24.67%, 14.63 kilograms or 32.186 lb of carbon dioxide per are lessened if the weights of the branches and twigs are promoted.

not included but the actual values are still very much higher.

22.39 – 50.08% when the branches and twigs were not the biomass and carbon dioxide sequestration rates. included.

The other source of discrepancy in the BGB and total biomass is attributed to the higher actual values of the BGB (average of 28.44% of the AGB). The published average value used in the computation is that, BGB

is only 20% of the AGB. This value is 8.44% less than the actual values. Other possible sources of the

(BGB) of the large, medium and small *Alnus* trees Furthermore, the moisture content of the oven – dried were 255.66 kg, 129.22 kg and 38.1 kg respectively, samples for the large, medium and small Alnus trees or an average of 140.99 kg per tree. Their total oven were 26.72 kg (48.66%), 17.36 kg (52.81%), and 5.324 dry weights were 133.77 kg, 57.73 kg and 21.235 kg kg (43.75%) respectively, or an average of 16.468 kg or respectively, or an average of 70.91 kg per tree. The 49.13%. This means that in 8-year Alnus trees, the wood AGB is 71.56 %, and the BGB is 28.44 % of the total or the dry matter is just a little higher than half of their trees which is 27.5% (72.5% is dry matter).

Alnus tree samples were 60.1965 kg, 25.9785 kg and within 8-years, Alnus japonica grown at about 2m x 2m 9.56 kg respectively, or an average of 31.91 kg per spacing on sites of similar conditions with that of the 220.698 kg, 95.25 kg and 35.034 kg respectively, or of about 140.99 kg and an average dry matter of about an average of 116.994 kg per tree. There was a total 70.91kg per tree with the AGB and BGB constituting

The following are recommended:

and their BGB is only 12.58%, of the actual recorded year, a significant environmental contribution that can biomass, and their total computed biomass is only help abate global warming and climate change. Alnus is 21.18% (29.861kg) of the actual biomass (140.99 kg). known as Nitrogen fixer; a good nurse tree for Arabica The discrepancies are due to the fact that the equation coffee, and a source of excellent compost for fertilizer. considered only the main stem or bole of the tree; the Hence, planting of *Alnus* trees as a forest cover, nurse twigs and branches are not included. The discrepancies trees, hedgerows, and landscape components should be

2. Follow - up study to determine the carbon dioxide sequestration rate by older Alnus japonica and by Alnus The computed AGB value is 33.58% of the actual grown in other geographic areas, as well as of other average values with a range of 30.24% - 56.4%, as tree species should be conducted towards formulating compared to only 24.665% (average) and a range of equation and determining average values for computing

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The following helped facilitate the conduct of this

BSU Research Journal No. 72

research: Foresters Sano L. Ngiwas and Paquito Untalan of BSU-College of Forestry; Dr. Leon L. Tandang (dean), Prof. Darwin Basquial (Colle Secretary), Prof. Alexander Fagyan (Dep't. Chai Soils & Chemistry Dep't.) and Mr. Lochinvar Conta (Science Aide) of the BSU-College of Agricultu and the students of the BSU-College of Forest namely: Andy, Ronnie, Felix, Prado, Japet, Meno Jerry, Mechelle, Shiela, Marilou, Sheryl, Dori Marjie, Renalvn, Jorgette, Nowedel, Dario, Alma, a Dvesebel.

LITERATURE CITED

Anonymous. undated. How to calculate the amount of CO₂ sequestered in a tree per year Retriev http://www.broward.org/NaturalResourc from ClimateChange/Documents/Calculating%

CO2%20Sequestration%20by%20Trees.pd Retrieved in May 2012.

Esteves, P. P. Editor. 2013. Carbon dioxide atmosphere hits historic high. The Philippine St World News. P. 23.

Chan, Y. H. 1982. Storage and Release of Organ Carbon in Peninsular Malaysia: Internation Journal of Environmental Studies . 18:211-222.

Lasco, R. D. 2004. Land Use, Land Use Change a Forestry Climate Change Mitigation Projects Primer. World Agroforestry Centre. Southeast A **Regional Research Programme.**

Lasco, R. D., F. B. Paulhin, R.V.O. Cruz, J.M. Paulh and S. S. N. Roy. Undated. Carbon Budgets Terrestrial Ecosystems in the Pantabangan -Carangl Watershed: Paper report on research suppor by grant #AS21 from Assessments of Impacts a Adaptations to Climate Change (AIACC), a jo project of START, the Third World Academy Sciences, and the UN EnvironmentProgramme

Lasco, R. D., I. Q. Guillermo, R. V. O. Cruz N. Bantayan and F. B. Paulhin, 2004. Carbon Stoc Assessment of a Secondary Forest in Mor Makiling Forest Reserve, Philippines. Journal Tropical Forest Science 16 (1): 35-45.

o P. ncia	Lofrangco, I., 2006. Determination of Carbon Sequestration Capacity of Trees and Palms in the
ege	East Course of WackWack Golf Course and Country
ir –	Club, Mandaluyong City, Manila.Unpublished
ada	Practicum Report. University of the Philippines at
ure;	Los Baños, College, Laguna.
try,	Los Dunos, Conego, Lugunu.
idil,	Nowak, D. Undated. Air Quality and Trees: Benefits
ina,	of Trees in Urban Areas. Retrieved January 2012.
and	•
anu	USDA Forest Service (http://ww.coloradotrees.org/
	benefits.htm
	Paladinic, E., D. Vuletic, I. Martinic , H. Marjavonic,
	K. Indir, M. Benko, and V. Novotny. 2009. Forest
	Biomass and Sequestered Carbon Estimation
ount	According to Main Tree Components on the Forest
ved	Stand Scale.Periodicum Biologorum. 3 (4): 459-
ces/	466. ISSN 0031-5362
620	
df).	Richard, W. 1992. Root-Shoot Ratios . Paper presented
,	during the Annual Conference of the International
	Society of Arboriculture in Philadelphia in August,
e in	1991. Journal of Arboriculture 18(1) Retrieved from
tar:	http://joa.isaarbor.com/request.asp?JournalID=1&A
	rticleID=2479&Type=2. Retrieved on August 2012.
inic	Schlesinger, W. H. 1991. Biochemistry, an Analysis of
onal	Global Change. New York, USA, Academic Press.
	Singh, P. and L. S. Lodhiyal. 2009. Biomass and Carbon
and	Allocation in eight-year – old Poplar (<i>Populus</i>
s: A	deltoides Marsh) Plantation in Tarai Agroforestry
Asia	Systems of Central Himalaya, India. New York
	Science Journal, 2009 2 (6) ISSN 1554-0200
hin	UNFCC, 1994. The Convention on Climate Change,
s of	Climate Change Secretariat (UNFCC), Boon,
	5
glan	Germany.http://nufcc.int/essentialbackground/
rted	convention/background/items/2853.php
and oint	Loo M W 1002 Dimorio allegitanning from Alaura
	Lee, M. W., 1992. Dimeric ellagitannins from <i>Alnus</i>
y of	<i>japonica</i> . Phytochemistry. 31(8):2835-2839.
	Agroforestry Data Base 4.0. <i>Alnus japonica</i> .
C	Betulaceae (Thund) Retrieved from http://www.
. C.	worldagroforestry.org.treesdb2/AFTPDFS/Alnus
ocks	<i>japonica</i> .pdf). Retrieved on May 2012.
ount	
l of	